

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

Analysis of Interdependencies between CITI and other Critical Infrastructures using RISKS Forum data

Hafiz Abdur Rahman and Konstantin Beznosov

{rahmanha, beznosov}@ece.ubc.ca Laboratory for Education and Research in Secure Systems Engineering lersse.ece.ubc.ca

Outline

- Objectives
- Information Requirement for CITI Failure Analysis
- Use of Public Domain Failure Reports
- Existing Classification Methods
- Our Method of Classification and Analysis
- Results of our Analysis
- Conclusions



Objectives

- To get understanding of the CITI failure pattern and how it affects other Infrastructures
- To classify failure related data in a systematic way
- To test usefulness of the proposed classification for modeling and simulation



Information Sources for CITI Fault Analysis

- Data from Infrastructure Service Providers
 - Could give detail information of systems' states and control parameters, input/output specification, operating assumptions, environmental constraints, etc.
 - Government and corporations reluctant to share

Reports from newspapers and private individuals

- Public access
- Patterns can be detected by studying large number of cases.
- No progressive picture(s) of fault sequences
- RISKS forum
 - ACM's forum on Risks to the public in computers and related systems
 - Online version of RISKS is: http://catless.ncl.ac.uk/Risks



Related Work

- Neumann: RISKS forum (from 1985), "Computer Related Risks" (1994)
 - Publicly known accidents due to computers
 - No analysis or taxonomy
- Howard (1997): taxonomy and frequency analysis on vulnerability reports of Computer Emergency Readiness Team Coordination Center (CERT/CC) data
- Chakrabarti and Manimaran (2002): another taxonomy to classify Internet infrastructure security attacks/faults
 - Network level only
- Rinaldi et al. (2001): taxonomy for Infrastructure interdependencies based on six functional dimensions.
- Limitations:
 - (Howard 1997) and (Chakrabarti and Manimaran, 2002) developed taxonomies for attacks (intentional). No accidental (unintentional) failures.
 - (Rinaldi et al 2001) have no specific focus for CITI and other infrastructure interdependencies.



Our Method of Failure Classification and Analysis

- Failure reports are classified into three groups based on Infrastructure Interdependencies:
 - Class A: Physical Layer
 - Class B: Network Layer
 - Class C: IT Service Layer
- Following attributes are captured from each failure report
 - Date
 - Locality: Organization/City/Region/Country/Continent/World
 - Fault Type: Intentional/Unintentional/Unknown
 - Degree of Impact: High/Medium/Low
 - Duration: "x" hours
 - Public Safety: Yes /No/Unknown
 - Financial Impact: Million USD
 - Simulation: Yes/No/Unsure
 - Origin of Fault: e.g., "Electrical device failure"
 - Source Infrastructure: e.g., "IT Infrastructure"
 - Affected Infrastructures: e.g., "Telecommunication Infrastructure"
 - Affected Industry Sectors: e.g., "Financial"
 - Description



Important Report Attributes

- Degree of Impact: High/Medium/Low
- Public Safety: Yes /No/Unknown
- Locality: Organization/City/Region/Country/ Continent/World
- Source and Affected Infrastructures:
 - IT Infrastructure
 - Telecommunication Infrastructure
 - Water Supply
 - Electrical Power System
 - Oil and Gas
 - Road Transportation
 - Railway Transportation
 - Air Transportation
 - Banking and Financial Services
 - Public Safety Services
 - Healthcare System
 - Administration and Public Services
 - Multiple Infrastructures



Sample Reports

- Degree of Impact High (A.1) On November 19, 1994, Iowa City's US West telephone system shut down at about 3:30 p.m., local time, and service was gradually restored between 7:30 and 9:30 p.m, affecting about 60,000 people. Analysis showed that a new switching system had been installed in July 1994. In removing the old system, an electrical grounding cable had been inadvertently removed.
- Degree of Impact Medium (B.1) MCI's inbound Internet gateways were saturated during July 1994, resulting in days of delay in delivering e-mail to MCI customers. A fix was considered to be months in the offing



Sample Reports (Cont.)

- Degree of Impact Low (C.3) A software glitch on March 10, 1995, caused Prodigy's e-mail system to send 473 e-mail messages to incorrect recipients and to lose 4,901 other messages. The system had to be shut down for five hours
- Example of a report that is not selected I suppose I shouldn't be surprised, but the power went out for 17,000 here in our small town (38,000) last week. The local newspaper first reported that the power company didn't know why it went out, but that it "may be related to someone digging in their back yard". A week later they fixed the blame. A phone call (by the power company), supposedly to one substation, (completely automated judging by the tone of the article) went instead to a different substation (for unexplained reasons) and shut that substation down. It was down for 1.5 hours. "Make a Call, Turn Off the Power, RISKS (17, 4)"
 - Relation to CITI is not clear
 - No clear reference to town name, location etc
 - Undefined term 17000



A Sample Report

A.1	Ground-cable removal blows Iowa City phone system upgrade			
Date	Country	Locality	Degree of Impact	Simulation
11/19/1994	USA	City	High	Unsure
Fault Type	Duration	Financial Impact	Public Safety	Affected Sites
Unintentional	6 hours	Unknown	Yes	Unknown

On November 19, 1994, Iowa City's US West telephone system shut down at about 3:30 *p.m.*, local time, and service was gradually restored between 7:30 and 9:30 *p.m.*, affecting about 60,000 people. Analysis showed that a new switching system had been installed in July 1994. In removing the old system, an electrical grounding cable had been inadvertently removed.

Report Source	<i>Iowa City Press Citizen,</i> November 22, 1994; see discussion by
	Douglas W. Jones, RISKS (16, 58)
Report Accuracy	6
Fault Origin	Fault in electrical system due to human error.
Source	Electrical Power System
Infrastructure	
Affected	Telecommunication Infrastructure
Infrastructures	
Affected Industry	All kinds of industries of Iowa City
Sectors	
Comment	Lack of detailed planning



Collected Data

125 cases for 8 years (1994 – 2001)





Preliminary Stats/Results

	Physical	l Layer (A)	Networ	k Layer (B)	IT Service	Layer (C)	Tot	al
Total/category	46	37%	27	22%	52	42%	125	100%
Туре								
Intentional	2	4%	18	67%	7	13%	27	22%
Unintentional	39	85%	9	33%	42	81%	90	72%
Unknown	5	11%	0	0%	3	6%	8	6%
Impact Scale								
High	37	80%	20	74%	31	60%	88	70%
Medium	8	17%	6	22%	12	23%	26	21%
Low	1	2%	1	4%	9	17%	11	9%
Location								
US/Canada	26	57%	15	56%	34	65%	75	60%
Other	20	43%	12	44%	18	35%	50	40%
World	2	4%	6	22%	0	0%	8	6%
Public Safety								
Concern	15	33%	7	26%	10	19%	32	26%
No Concern	20	43%	16	59%	34	65%	70	56%
Unknown	11	24%	4	15%	8	15%	23	18%



Failure Class Distribution

Failure Class Distribution

■ Physical Layer (A) ■ Netw ork Layer (B) ■ IT Service Layer (C)





Failure Type Distribution



• Remark 1: Origins of most of the CITI failures are unintentional.



Failure Type for Each Layer



• Remark 2: Intentional failures are mostly concerned with network layer.



Impact of Failure related to Each Layer



• Remark 3: Failure in the lower layer has higher impact than upper layer



Public Safety related to Each Layer



Remark 4: Public safety concern is more for lower layer failures.



Public Safety vs Failure Impact

	High	Medium	Low
Yes	26	4	2
No	44	18	8
Unknown	18	4	1



Remark 5: Public safety is not directly related to the degree of impact.



CITI Failure Sources

IT Infrastructure	88
Telecommunication Infrastructure	28
Electrical Power System	7
Public Safety Services	2



 Remark 6: CITI failures are mostly originated from within CITI infrastructure.



Infrastructures Affected by CITI Failures

IT Infrastructure	33
Telecommunication Infrastructure	21
Banking and Financial Services	17
Multiple Infrastructures	16
Air Transportation	11
Administration and Public Services	8
Railway Transportation	7
Public Safety Services	5
Water Supply	1
Electrical Power System	2
Oil and Gas	1
Road Transportation	1
Healthcare System	2

Infrastructures Affected by CITI Failures

IT Infrastructure	Telecommunication Infrastructure	
Banking and Financial Services	Multiple Infrastructures	
All Other Individual Infrastructures		



 Remark 7: Most of the CITI failures affect CITI, Banking and Finance and/or Multiple (more than one) Infrastructures.



Interdependency Graph (Under Construction)





Change of Degree of Impact over Time



• Remark 8: Degree of impact (severity) of CITI failures are on the rise.



Locality affected by CITI Failures

Locality affected by CITI failures



Remark 9: Most of the time CITI failures affect individual setup or organization. However, affects of many of the failures cross organization boundary and may affect the whole country. Crossing the national boundary is very unlikely, unless a failure is targeted internationally.



Failure Locations in the World



 Remark 10: Most the reported failures (above 60%) are related to North America (US/Canada)



Conclusions

- Identifying CITI and other infrastructures' interdependency from public domain data is an unexplored proposition.
- In this research, we have proposed a method to extract meaningful information from these public domain data.
- Using this method we have analyzed 8 years of public domain data from ACM RISKS forum and identified some patterns related to CITI and other infrastructures' interdependencies.
- Our immediate goal is to collect and analyze data up to 2005
- We will also look into the work of Stephanie Chang et al. and examine usefulness of some of their ideas in our work.



Any Question ?



