THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA



**Experience Report:** Design and Implementation of a **Component-Based Protection Architecture for ASP.NET Web Services Konstantin Beznosov** Laboratory for Education and Research in Secure Systems Engineering (LERSSE) **Electrical and Computer Engineering** University of British Columbia

Copyright © 2005 Konstantin Beznosov

### **How ASP.NET Web Services Work**



# **ASP.NET Web Services Security**

Disclaimer: Biased, qualitative, unsupported comparison

|            | Out-of-the-box | Reported<br>Architecture |
|------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| granular   | ***            | ****                     |
| scalable   | ****           | ****                     |
| extensible | *              | ****                     |
| reusable   | ***            | ****                     |

### Outline

- System architecture
- Examples
- Lessons learned
- Summary

### **Reported System**

#### What is it?

Component-based **A**uthentication and **A**uthorization (A&A) architecture for ASP.NET Web services

#### **Key features**

Less effort to integrate into enterprise security

- 1. More granularity and scalability: scalable and finegrained configuration of machine-wide A&A functions
- 2. More extensibility: easy to add new A&A logic
- 3. Better reusability: A&A components can be combined

### **Separation of Enforcements & Decisions**



Interceptor enforces, "A&A logic" decides

#### **Component Framework for A&A Logic**



# **Permission Examples**

| Permission Example                    | Explanation                         |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| http://foobank.com/bar.asmx           | Only the URL is used                |
| com.foobank.ws.Sbar/m1                | Class and method names              |
| D1/com.foobank.ws.Sbar/m1             | Same but in domain "D1"             |
| com.foobank.ws.Sbar/owner=smith       | Class name and attribute            |
| D1/com.foobank.ws.Sbar/owner=smith/m1 | Domain / class / attribute / method |

# **Call Sequence**





THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

# Example 1

### University Course Web Service

Copyright © 2005 Konstantin Beznosov

### University Course Web Service Policy

- 1. Anyone can lookup course descriptions.
- 2. All users should authenticate using HTTP-BA.
- 3. Registration clerks can list students registered for the course and (un)register students.
- 4. The course instructor can list registered students as well as manage course content.
- Registered for the course students can download assignments and course material, as well as submit assignments.

#### **Policy Engine Assembly for Example 1**





THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

# Example 2

### Human Resources Web Service for an International Organization

Copyright © 2005 Konstantin Beznosov

### **HR Web Service Policy**

- Only users within the company's intranet or those who access the service over SSL and have valid X.509 certificates issued by the company should access.
- 2. Anybody in the company can look up any employee and get essential information about her/him.
- HR employees can modify contact information and review salary information of any employee from the same division.
- 4. HR managers can modify any information about the employees of the same division.

#### **Policy Engine Assembly for Example 2**



### **Expected Lessons Learned**

- It's possible to design security decision logic as components
  - reusable from policy to policy
  - composable to support different policies
  - replaceable to allow new policies
- ASP.NET container is suitable for extensions (in the form of components)
- effective design required deep understanding of access control, Web services, and (ASP).NET
- effective configuration (packaging) crucial
- embracing (not ignoring or suppressing) ASP.NET idiosyncrasies lead to the success

### **Expected Lessons Learned**

- It's possible to design security decision logic as components
  - reusable from policy to policy
  - composable to support different policies
  - replaceable to allow new policies
- ASP.NET container is suitable for extensions (in the form of components)
- effective design required deep understanding of access control, Web services, and (ASP).NET
- effective configuration (packaging) crucial
- embracing (not ignoring or suppressing) ASP.NET idiosyncrasies lead to the success

#### **Unexpected Lessons Learned**

- customers did not care that much about standard compliance & interoperability
- hard to interpret very flexible WS-Security spec
- switching to XP-like User Stories too shocking
- avoid showing all the capabilities/flexibility
- unscalable life-cycle of interceptors
- SOAP interceptor intercepts only SOAP messages (duh!)

# **Summary**

- experience report about designing and implementing protection framework for ASP.NET Web services
- (un)expected lessons learned
  - CB authentication and authorization mechanisms
    - feasable
    - evolve with policies
- details
  - in the paper
  - http://konstantin.beznosov.net